EXHIBIT 7



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Certified Copy

GABANA GULF DISTRIBUTION, LTD., A COMPANY ORGANIZED UNDER THE LAWS OF THE UNITED KINGDOM, AND GABANA DISTRIBUTION, LTD., A COMPANY ORGANIZED UNDER THE LAWS OF THE UNITED KINGDOM

Plaintiffs,

٧s.

)

NO. C 06 2584 CRB

GAP INTERNATIONAL SALES, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION, THE GAP, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION, BANANA REPUBLIC, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, AND OLD NAVY, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY.

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF

FRANCOIS LARSEN

Monday, May 14, 2007

(Pages 1 - 227)

SHEILA CHASE & ASSOCIATES REPORTING FOR: LiveNote World Service 221 Main Street, Suite 1250 San Francisco, California 94105 Phone: (415) 321-2311

Fax: (415) 321-2301

Reported by:

KATHLEEN A. WILKINS, CSR, RPR, CRR

CSR No. 10068

LiveNote World Service

800.548.3668 Ext. 1

Page 1

į	4	ķο	Ą
		7	1
	ij.	ř	
	ŝ		
ĺ	逶	1	
	ě	*	
	ij.	_	
	曩	2	
ı	Ĩ	3	
I	K	•	
ı	r e		
Ε	爭	4	
l	景	7	
į	ě		
ı	a .	5	
		•	
	į	_	
	Ţ	6	
	ż	7	
	e.	7	
		В	
	XI.	u	
ż	ř.		
ě		9	
Š		_	
7	1	0	
111	ń		
ŝ	1		
ŝ	1.	1	
Ė			
ŝ	1.	2	
8	1.	-	
į			
į	1	₹.	
ď	Ι.	,	
Ĭ,			
Ě	1	1	
I			
ř	٠.	_	
ŧ	15)	
ŧ			
ř	1 4	-	
CHARLES OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	16 17	J	
ě			
Į	1 ~	7	
		,	
7			
Ì	18	}	
	19	}	
	2		
	20		
	21		
٠	<u>د ۱</u>		
,	22		
•			
,	· -		
1	23		
,	١.		
4	24		
,	25		
4	٠.		

- Q. I'm trying just to give you a little ground.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm trying to understand what exactly it was you were doing on behalf of Solka prior to your signing Exhibits 4 and 5 to try to sell Gap product. And you've told me some things. I'd like to know if there's anything else that you've left off the list.
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. All right. I'm going to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 7 and 8 in this case.

(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit 7 and Exhibit 8 were marked for identification.)

MS. ANDERSON: Q. And Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy in French of the agreement between Solka and Gabana; is that correct?

- A. Looks so, yes.
- Q. Looks like it is, yes, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You signed that agreement, did you not?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And Exhibit 8 before you is a translation of that contract which we had performed and sent to your counsel at the end of last week.

	•		
1		A.	Yes

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Drawing your attention down to the recitals, in recital A you signed an agreement that says, "GABANA manages the exclusive right granted by GAP, Inc., to sell merchandise labeled GAP, Banana Republic and Old Navy."
 - Do you see that?
 - A. Mh-hmm.
- Q. All right. Gabana didn't manage any exclusive right granted by Gap Inc. at the time that you signed this contract, did it?
 - A. No, it didn't.
- Q. And drawing your attention to the next paragraph, you signed the contract that says, "GABANA wishes to appoint ROOTS as its exclusive distributor in the territory."
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Gabana did not have the authority from Gap to designate any party as a distributor in any territory at the time that you signed this contract, did it?
- 23 MR. LITCHFORD: Object to form.
- 24 THE WITNESS: On the legal point of view,
- 25 one could say so.

-				
1	MS. ANDERSON: Q. That you would say			
2	that my statement was correct from a legal			
3	perspective?			
4	A. I'm not I'm not a lawyer, but, I mean,			
5	I would assume that one could say so.			
6	Q. There's no contract that you're aware of			
7	that granted Gabana the authority to do that at the			
8	time that you signed this contract between Gabana			
9	and M/S Roots, right?			
10	MR. LITCHFORD: Objection. Form.			
11	THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.			
12	MS. ANDERSON: Q. Okay. And drawing your			
13	attention to paragraph D in the recital, you signed			
14	a contract that says, "GABANA and ROOTS shall			
15	negotiate and conclude a further Franchise Agreement			
16	if and when ROOTS is entitled to open and operate			
17	retail stores to sell the Products directly to the			
18	customers."			
19	Did Gabana have the authority under any			
20	contract with Gap to grant anybody a franchise			
21	MR. LITCHFORD: Objection.			
22	MS. ANDERSON: Q for Gap products?			
23	MR. LITCHFORD: Repeat the objection to			
24	form.			
25	THE WITNESS: This the sense of this			

1	Q. You couldn't give an estimate?		
. 2	A. No.		
3	Q. And among who strike that.		
4	Among which body of people did you have		
5	such an excellent reputation?		
6	A. Among the people we dealt with, or that we		
7	have come into talk with, negotiate with.		
8	Q. When you were working towards selling		
9			
	excess inventory on behalf of Solka prior to signing		
10	Exhibits 4 and 5, did you find it difficult to sell		
11	the excess inventory?		
12	A. One could qualify it, yes, difficult.		
13	Q. And so you knew that going into signing		
14	Exhibit 4, right?		
15	A. Exhibit 4 being the		
16	Q. Excess inventory.		
17	A. Yes.		
18	Q. Drawing your attention to Exhibit to		
19	Exhibit 3, on page 3, paragraph 14, you allege, "In		
20	early 2003, Gap International represented to Gabana		
21	Gulf that if it could quickly find a buyer for the		
22	remaining excess inventory, then Gap International		
23	would be willing to enter into a wider and more		
24	permanent business arrangements with it."		
25	Do you see that?		
į			

)

1	Α.	Yes.	
2	Q.	All right. Who on behalf of Gap	
3	Internati	onal made that representation to Gabana?	
4	A.	Jim Bell.	
5	Q.	When in early 2003 did he make that	
6	represent	ation to Gabana?	
7	A.	Between February and March, April, or I	
8	would say	February.	
9	Q.	Who was present when he made that	
10	representation?		
11	A.	Him and me.	
12	Q.	Was it face to face?	
13	A.	No.	
14	Q.	It was over the phone?	
15	A.	Yes.	
16	Q.	Was it the phone conversation you	
17	described	earlier today?	
18	Α.	There were many phone conversations about	
19	this subject.		
20	Q.	Okay. How many conversations on this	
21	subject d	id you have with Jim Bell?	
22	A.	I don't recall, but I would say that	
23	probably	one every two or three days for a certain	
24	time.		
25	Q.	This complaint describes the Gap	

CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICER

I. KATHLEEN A. WILKINS, RPR, CSR NO. 10068, duly authorized to administer oaths pursuant to Section 8211 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witness was reported by me and was thereafter transcribed by me or under my direction by means of computer-aided transcription; that the foregoing is a full, complete and true record of said testimony; and that the witness was given an opportunity to read and correct said deposition and to subscribe same.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing deposition and caption named, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed by my hand this 24th day of May, 2007.

Karler Will

KATHLEEN A. WILKINS, RPR, CSR NO. 10068